

Review of the literature on Learning Communities in Medical Education

Sunny Smith MD

UC San Diego School of Medicine

Learning communities

- Centuries old in Europe (Oxford)
- Introduced to U.S. Schools in 1930s
 - Residential colleges: Harvard/Yale
 - Divide larger schools into smaller units
- Modern LC movement in higher ed: 1980s
 - At the undergraduate level (not UGME)
 - Associated with positive outcomes including student retention and academic achievement

Learning communities in Med Ed

- More recent development
 - 1970s-80s: UM-KC, Harvard
 - 1990s-2000s: early implementers
 - 2005 LCIs first meeting
 - 2006: 18 LCs identified Ferguson et al Acad Med 2009
 - 2012: 8 years later -> 66 Smith et al Acad Med 2014
 - 52.4% of respondents (N=126).
 - At least 44% of all AAMC institutions have LCs (N=151)
 - additional 29 considering creating them

Why LCs in UGME?

- Small group learning
- Longitudinal relationships
- Role modeling
 - Faculty often chosen for humanism, professionalism, excellence, interest in MS education
- Continuity
- Social learning theory
 - bedside manner, clinical skills

LCs could address

- Not only the curriculum, but also
 - Hidden curriculum
 - Empathy
 - Burn-out
 - Depression

LCs are addressing

- Well-being
- Professionalism
- Advising
- Mentoring (faculty, peers, upperclassmen)
- Social activities
- Clinical skills
- Small group instruction (eg PBL)
- Service learning
- Interdisciplinary learning
- Milestones/ceremonies

Models

- Curricular
- Extracurricular
- Mixed

- Cost:
 - \$10,000-\$1.4 Million annual budget
 - Mean: \$414,219; median \$300k; SD \$372,500

But does it make a difference?

- Widely and rapidly implemented in UGME
 - Restructuring medical education
 - Potential for positive impact, personalize medical ed.
- Literature is very limited at this stage
- Largely descriptive
- Need to assess outcomes
 - To determine if this makes a difference
 - We believe it does, but how do we measure it
 - What models are more effective
 - To justify the time, expense

Literature review

- Challenging
- Difficult to have confidence that you have been comprehensive
- No specific MeSH term, may not be a key word
- Often report on a certain aspect of their LCs
 - Clinical skills
 - Well-being
 - Professionalism
 - Advising
 - Perhaps without mention of the LC in the title, abstract, or maybe even in the manuscript

Literature review

- “Learning Communities”
 - also known as
 - Colleges
 - Advisory Colleges
 - Societies
 - Academic Communities
 - CELLS
 - Docent teams

LC in UGME Literature review

- Presented by Marjorie Wenrich - 2010
 - Available on the LCI website
 - ~30 papers published in LCs
 - Descriptive
 - UM-KC, Hopkins, U AZ, UW, Florida
 - Process
 - Engagement/leadership, career decisions, stress, mentorship, professionalism, clerkship preparation, competencies, communication, advising case presentations

UW Outcomes

- Improved comfort in clinical skills at start of clerkship
 - Clinical skills (doctoring course)
 - pre-post colleges implementation survey
 - Whipple et al. Acad Med 2006.
- Improved Clerkship performance
 - Particularly in Internal Medicine (9/12 domains, Likert scale). Pre/post evals.
 - Jackson et al. J Gen Int Med 2009.
- Positive impact of teaching on faculty clinical skills
 - Qualitative studies
 - Wenrich et al. Acad Med 2011.

Outcomes

- Hopkins
 - Clinical skills and advising Colleges system, \$1.1M
 - Pre-post surveys
 - Paying clinical skills faculty teachers associated with higher student evaluation of teachers.
 - Ashar et al. JGIM 2007.

Outcomes

- U of Iowa Carver COM
 - Connections, Excellence, Leadership, Learning, Service. (.25FTE)
 - Compared progressive implementation, 1st to 3rd year LC surveys
- Improved perceptions of the LE, connections between students, access to faculty/staff, involvement in leadership & service
 - Rosenbaum et al. Acad Med 2007.

Outcomes

- UCLA
 - 4th year colleges: curricular and mentoring (acute care, anatomy, medical leadership, specialties, primary care, underserved)
 - Pre/post surveys
 - Not any decrease in flexibility, overall satisfaction, educational value of 4th year.
 - Improved experience with advisors, mentors, role models, quality of advising, career planning, increased connection.
 - GQ: Compared favorably w national mean in career planning.
 - Coates et al. Acad Med 2008.

Outcomes

- Vanderbilt
 - Wellness and career counseling
 - Pre-post. One-on-one to structured group college advising system on wellness and career counseling
 - Increase in students ability to ID their advisor, the # of student-faculty interactions, # meaningful contacts, perceived effectiveness, nearly all said important to them, agreed advisor promoted wellness and career counseling, increased satisfaction with the advisory system
 - Sastre et al. Med Teach 2010.

2010 LCI literature review

- Followed by discussion, then break out groups
- >40 schools with LCs involved in LCI
 - though last study published said 18
- No multi-institutional studies
- Goals set:
 - Update of the state of LC in UGME
 - Multi-institutional outcomes studies

Achieved those goals

- Updated national survey
 - Describing current state of LC in UGME
 - Obtained details on areas of focus, funding, strengths, weaknesses
 - Smith et al. Acad Med 2014.
- Faculty outcomes study
 - Five institutions, 150 faculty
 - Serving as a mentor in LCs: strong sense of job satisfaction
 - correlated with more FTE support and more structured faculty development
 - Wagner et al. Med Teach 2014.

Other recent LC literature

- Stanford
 - E4C description (Clinical skills, wellness, every other month reflection in clinical years)
 - Osterberg et al. Medical Student Wellness: An essential role for mentors. Med Sci Educ 2011.
 - E4C Outcomes:
 - Osterberg. From High School to Medical School: The Importance of Community in Education. Med Sci Educ 2014.
 - E4C (LC) students scored sig higher on all domains of CPX
 - No sig decrease in empathy from first to second clinical year (as is usually seen in other institutions)
 - Faculty: increased job satisfaction, sense of belonging at institution

Recent LC literature

- Vanderbilt.
 - Pre (2004) vs post full colleges implementation (2012)
 - \$580,000 / year
 - GQ data: satisfaction with personal counseling high, sig increase in satisfaction with career planning
 - Student affairs survey:
 - 91% stated colleges contributed meaningfully or somewhat meaningfully to their experience
 - Coll Mentors: approachable, accessible, responsive, students were satisfied with advising
 - Fleming et al. Building LCs: Evolution of the Colleges at Vanderbilt U SOM. Acad Med 2013.

Recent LC literature

- St Louis University
 - Curricular change to improve wellness, including LCs
 - Students may join more than one LC (service, global health, wellness, med ed, research)
 - Change to pass/fail, decrease contact hours, longitud. Electives, resiliency/MBSR, moved anatomy later
 - Examined pre/post implementation changes
 - Lower depression sx, anxiety sx, stress
 - Higher levels of community cohesion
 - Increased GQ scores for programs that promote well being
 - Step 1 scores increased, but the national mean also increased
 - Slavin et al. Medical student mental health 3.0: improving student wellness through curricular changes. Acad Med 2014.

These were outcomes from different types of LCs

- Stanford
 - E4C: weekly clinical skills course and longitudinal mentoring, then every other month for reflection in the clinical years
 - Largest budget of the three (unpublished data)
- Vanderbilt
 - Wellness, CiM, advisory colleges, college colloquium four year course in humanities
 - \$580,000/year
- St Louis
 - Students may belong to more than one LC (service, research, global health, wellness, med ed): electives, service, lunch, research, longitudinal relationships.
 - \$10,000/year

What about Advising Deans?

- Columbia
 - “Although there are some similarities between advisory deans and LCs (e.g., both seek to foster a sense of belonging to a small community and to mentor students), the types of programs vary in purpose and execution by school”.
 - Meet regularly in groups and a min # of times individually with each student (30/class)
 - \$280,000 (.20 FTE/dean)
 - Assessed reflections: articulating goals, activities, admin, dean selection, turnover, fac development, time management, symbolism,
 - Swan-Sein et al. Sustaining and Advisory Dean Program Through Continuous Improvement and Evaluation. Acad Med 2014.

Research related posters at LCI this year

- Jim Wagner (UTSW)
 - Does LC mentor specialty result in any differences in OSCE or Step 2 scores?
- Rob Shochet (Hopkins) and Meg Keeley (U VA)
 - Assessed the LE at two institutions using 28-item JHLES
- Michael Ennis (U Massachusetts)
 - Faculty Turnover in LCs, examined reasons, plans for replacement during temporary or permanent absence
- Pamela Baker (U Cincinnati)
 - Assessing LC Outcomes

Related presentations elsewhere

- Many of us are presenting on LCs at other regional or national meetings
- ? Compile some of these presentations on LCI website for others to reference
- Consider turning your presentation into a publication
 - With information from your institution, or by adding a multi-institutional collaborator

Conclusions

- Some data to suggest that LCs are making a difference in UGME experience
- Literature is still sparse given the wide implementation of this educational model
- Opportune time to examine what impact LCs have on the way we educate future health care providers (?transforming med ed)

Future directions???

- Brainstorm
- Then
 - Break out groups
 - Attempt to identify projects/leaders
 - Plan next steps
 - Report back